

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW

Contribution to the course from the perspective of comparison of laws

Final exam observations

Dear participants of the course Administrative Law,

I have corrected your answers to my question no. 4 in the final examination. The results are good. I was much more satisfied than by the results of the mid-term exam. Most of you have prepared the exam diligently, read the question carefully and answered it precisely in their own words, showing that they understood what they were writing.

Concerning sub-question a), I was surprised that almost everyone of you highlighted the same aspect of the principle of selection of the best candidates for the civil service in Germany: that there is no access to public office just because of family or personal relationships! While the students in Germany would only have mentioned this as one among many aspects, almost everyone of you focused on it. So I see that there must be a problem with regard to this aspect and the equal access to public office in Indonesia.

Concerning sub-question b), some of you mentioned more than the three examples asked for but leaned too closely on the formulations in my course materials. They need to learn that in an academic exam you need to demonstrate your own understanding of the subject: "Copy & paste" is not a suitable way to achieve many points. Most of you, however, have added short explanations for the three examples given.

I have filled out again a *correction sheet for every single exam*. Those among you who are interested to see how I have graded and what are the strong and weak points of their answers are *welcome to contact me* and I will send them the correction sheet for their paper.

Best wishes for your further studies,

Thomas Schmitz

More information on my course contribution at www.thomas-schmitz-yogyakarta.id. For any questions, suggestions and criticism please contact me in my office (Building A, room A.IV.11) or via e-mail at tschmit1@gwdg.de.